Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/646,192	WATSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SON P. HUYNH	2424	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of finally rejuted and 41.33(a)).	ected claims.
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		impliant Amendment (F1OL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 30-34,41 and 170-177. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Son P Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2424

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to the claims such as "using a hardware device" in claim 1 and/or "terrestrially" in claims 33, 172 would require further consideration and/or search.

Applicant argues in Ellis, the television equipment does not receive the movie data including a video file, a plurality of audio files each in a different language, and Ellis therefore, Ellis does not or cannot perform discarding one or more the plurality of audio files other than the desired one or more audio files since Ellis does not even receive a plurality of audio files each in a different language. In fact, Ellis teaches away from the invention since Ellis discloses the television distribution facility may response by providing the requested television program to the user's television equipment with only a single audio track containing audio in the selected language. Eliminating unnecessary audio tracks may lessen the bandwidth requirement for transmitting programming signal from the television distribution facility to a user's television equipment (pages 7-8). This argument is respectfully traversed.

It is noted that Ellis does not disclose the television distribution facility is not part of "hardware device" in the claim. In pact, Ellis discloses interactive program guide television 17 comprises television distribution facility and user television interface (see include, but not limited to, figures 2a-2b, col. 5, line 64-col. 6, line 40). Thus, the "hardware device" in the claim could be interpreted as interactive television program guide television 17. In this case, Ellis discloses the interactive program guide television 17 receives movie data including video file and a plurality audio files/tracks each in a different language (see for example, col. 3, lines 42-57 as pointed out by Application).

In case the "hardware device" in the claim is interpreted as the user television equipment only, Ellis' disclosure of user television equipment still reads on the claims.

Col. 3, lines 42-57 (pointed out by Applicant) is only one embodiment of the inventions. In fact, Ellis discloses user television equipment receives movie data/video program, the movie data/video program including video files and a plurality of audio files each in a different language. The language is filtered locally at the user television equipment using packet filter and/or digital component selector and/or audio component selector and/or program guide - see include, but not limited to, figures 3-4, 7a-7b, col. 4, lines 42-44, col. 9, lines –9-50, col. 12, lines 11-20, 36-62, col. 14, lines 21-34, col. 15, lines 10-36, 63-65, col. 18, line 20-col. 19, line 11, col. 19, line 63-col. 20, line 22).

For the reasons given above, rejections on the claims are sustained.